225 BROADWAY, SUITE 715 Document 9 Filed 09/10/200 Case 1:07-cv-06094-CM RONALD D. DEGEN rdegen@odlegal.com SCOTT G. GOLDFINGER SIDS SDNY FAX 21 4385-9613 sgoldfinger@odlega'.co# DOCUMENT KRISTIN M. LASHER FLECTRONICALLY FILED kipshor@odlegal.com. " ALSO ADMITTED IN DOC #: NEW JERBET DATE FILED: September 7, 2007

MEMO ENDORSED

<u>BY TELEFAX</u>

The Honorable Colleen McMahon United States District Court 500 Pearl Street New York NY 10007

Re: Cortec v. Erste Bank Case No. 07 Civ. 6094

Dear Judge McMahon:

On August 1, 2007, this Court issued a Scheduling Order setting a scheduling conference for September 14, 2007. On August 31, 2007, Defendant Erste Bank der oesterreichischen Sparkassen AG ("Erste Bank") filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint filed by Plaintiff Cortec Corporation ("Cortec").

Pursuant to Paragraph 4 of the Scheduling Order, if a motion is filed prior to the conference date, the parties may, upon request, seek an adjournment of the conference until the motion is fully briefed. The parties respectfully request that the September 14, 2007, scheduling conference be continued until after this Court has ruled on Erste Bank's Motion to Dismiss. The parties further request that discovery be stayed until this Court has issued its ruling on that Motion.

The parties disagree on whether this Court can rule on Erste Bank's Motion to Dismiss before any discovery has been conducted, as Cortec contends that the motion raises issues of fact that are inappropriate for resolution on a motion to dismiss and Erste Bank contends that no discovery is required to decide its Motion. The parties have agreed to submit this issue to the Court for decision as part of Erste Bank's Motion to Dismiss.

I do not aformedie

Tomber of the confidence

The confidence

All Market

We are forwarding herewith a proposed Stipulation which the parties have signed and request that the Court "so order" it.

Respectfully,

James D. Arden, Esq. cc: Sidley Austin LLP (212-839-5599)

QP.2260351 v2